
**Hardware Report**

**Moodlight**



I. Krajinovic, L. Müggler, P. Rovira

Lecturer: A. Ehrensperger

ZHAW School of Engineering ET18

Winterthur, 17.11.2019

Index

[1. Abstract 3](#_Toc24906822)

[1.1. Motivation 3](#_Toc24906823)

[1.2. Problem statement 3](#_Toc24906824)

[1.3. Approach 3](#_Toc24906825)

[1.4. Results 3](#_Toc24906826)

[1.5. Conclusion 3](#_Toc24906827)

[2. Specifications 4](#_Toc24906828)

[3. Evaluation of the LED driver solutions 4](#_Toc24906829)

[3.1. Solution 0/1 4](#_Toc24906830)

[3.2. Solution 2 4](#_Toc24906831)

[4. Hardware development 5](#_Toc24906832)

[4.1. Solution 0/1 5](#_Toc24906833)

[4.2. Solution 2 8](#_Toc24906834)

[4.3. Supply 11](#_Toc24906835)

[4.4. µC Connector 12](#_Toc24906836)

[5. Implementation 13](#_Toc24906837)

[6. Test 14](#_Toc24906838)

[7. Project management 16](#_Toc24906839)

[8. Conclusion 16](#_Toc24906840)

[9. Appendix 16](#_Toc24906841)

[9.1. Improvements 16](#_Toc24906842)

[9.2. Tools 16](#_Toc24906843)

[9.3. Abbreviations 17](#_Toc24906844)

[9.4. Sources 17](#_Toc24906845)

# Abstract

## Motivation

The motivation of this project is, to put the acquired skills into practice and to gain new experience in engineering. To be more specific, the task is to design two of four possible solutions of a LED-driver.

## Problem statement

The goal of this project is, to develop two different LED-drivers, which can regulate the brightness of the LED’s. The starting situation was, that we were shown four possible solutions of a LED-driver. We had to agree on two of these solutions. The solution approaches including the power supply of and the gecko evaluation board were given, but the further methods how to design the hardware, was left to us.

## Approach

Initially we had to decide, which of the solutions we wanted to implement. As soon as we had selected them, we started simulating with LTSpice. After the simulation was according to our ideas, we draw the schematics and the PCB by using EAGLE.

When the hardware development was finished, we ordered the PCB’s at Eurocircuits. The next step was to solder the PCB and bring it into service. The last step was to test the circuits and to check if the hardware meets all defined requirements.

After a few minor improvements, the hardware has passed all our tests and is now running correctly.

## Results

The test results showed, that the main functions are working. To achieve the full functionality, we had to do some modifications on the hardware. Means, we disassembled and changed some components.

## Conclusion

During the whole development process, we recognized some ideas for improvements, which we will plan to implement in the next project ETP2. These ideas are described in the chapter “9.1 Improvements”. We invested a lot of time in planning, simulating and designing the PCB, to avoid heavy faults on the PCB.

# Specifications

Before we started with developing the two solutions, we defined following requirements of our design:

* No components should overheat
* Output current should be adjustable
* LED’s should not flicker
* Output voltage VADC (solution 2) should not have any ripples
* Hardware should be adaptable for solution 0 and solution 1
* Simple testability 🡪 separate connectors for external voltage and reasonable testpoints
* Component labelling should be readable 🡪 simple mounting, soldering and optical testing
* Optically recognizable which solution is active 🡪 status LED
* Maximum limit of current up to 15 percent deviation of mean value of simulation and calculation

# Evaluation of the LED driver solutions




Figure 1: Block diagram

## Solution 0/1

We choosed solution 0 because the hardware is the same compared with solution 1. Therefore, it’s possible to switch between these two solutions without a big modification of the hardware. The difference is, that solution 0 uses a PWM signal on the ADJ-input of the LED-Driver-IC. Changing the duty cycle will change the output current. In solution 1 the ADJ-input needs an external DC voltage instead of an PWM signal. Changing the level of the DC voltage will change the output current. To switch between these two solutions, it’s necessary to adapt the software and placing a jumper. The PWM signal or DC voltage will later be generated by the evaluation board GECKO.

## Solution 2

We choosed solution 2, because during the prior subjects, e.g. EK1, we have studied similar setups as this design. Like this, the understanding was better for the second solution than the others, which were available. Further with this solution it’s possible to measure and regulate the output current.

This solution works with a buck-converter. A PWM-signal on the MOSFET switches the current through the LED’s on and off. At the on-time of the MOSFET the coil gets charged and the current is rising linear. At the off-time the coil charges the circuit and therefore, it gets discharged. This generates a linear decreasing current. This behaviour is shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Buck converter

Figure 2 shows, that only the average value of the waveform is relevant for the output current. Therefore, it doesn't matter how high the switching frequency is. To regulate the output voltage, the duty cycle must be changed.

The following ratio applies:

# Hardware development

## Solution 0/1

This solution operates with the integrated circuit ZXLD1350. This component offers three different operating modes. In the first operation mode, the output current gets adjusted by an external DC voltage level at the ADJ-input. The current can be configured from to , see datasheet. The other possibility is, to adjust the output current by the duty cycle of a PWM signal at the ADJ-input. If you decide to control the current with a PWM signal, then you have to choose again between two further operation modes. In the high frequency mode the current can be adjusted from to . In the low frequency mode the current can be configured from to . Because we wanted to use the whole possible scope, we decided to operate the ZXLD1350 in low frequency mode.

The relationships between the duty cycle and the output current are quite simple.

The maximum output current should be near . According to that, should have following value:

With the lowpass filter, consisting of and , frequencies above get cut off. and build a voltage divider, which should divide the assumed output voltage of the gecko from to . We choose the larger coil of instead of , because in the simulation the ripple of the output current gets lower than with the smaller one. We already had the capacitor and the schottky diode in stock and it works well in the simulation, therefore we used them.

### Schematics




Figure 3: Schematic of solution 0/1

### Simulation




Figure 4: LED current, duty cycle @10%




Figure 5: LED current, duty cycle @50%




Figure 6: LED current, duty cycle @100%

The LTSpice model of the ZXLD1350 was copied from the ZHAW server. The simulation for this solution was therefore not problematic.

As we expected in the simulations, the number of the current peaks increases with the duty cycle. The higher the duty cycle, the closer will be the peaks and when the duty cycle hit , we expected to see a flat line at the maximum value of current (Figure 4 to Figure 6).

We can confirm, the prototype is behaving as expected. The higher the duty cycle, the closer are the current peaks. We can also appreciate in Figure 5 the shape characteristic of the discharge of a coil.

### Components value

Table 1: Components for solution 0/1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Part name | Component | # |
| R14 | Resistor 0.3 Ω | 1 |
| R12 | Resistor 1.3 kΩ | 1 |
| R11 | Resistor 2 kΩ | 1 |
| R13 | Resistor 4.7 kΩ | 1 |
| C12, C13 | Capacitor 10 µF | 2 |
| C11 | Capacitor 10 pF | 1 |
| L11 | Coil 680 µH | 1 |
| D11 | Diode SS13L | 1 |
| IC11 | IC ZXLD1350 | 1 |

## Solution 2

Like already said, this solution operates with a self-designed buck converter. To verify the output current of the buck converter, the voltage drop above the shunt resistor is measured. The ZXCT1350 indicates this voltage drop and converts it to a current. The following formula shows the relationship between measured voltage VSENSE and the converted output current:

Depending on the maximum voltage, which you want at the output, you have to choose the corresponding resistor. We defined, that we want a maximum output voltage VADC of at a current of . Therefore, the maximum voltage drop across the shunt resistor is , because the resistor value of the shunt is 1 . To get the corresponding resistor value RGAIN you just have to apply ohm’s law, because the output current flows through the selected resistor and this generates a measurable output voltage VADC.

The resulting resistance value is .

and build a lowpass filter. Frequencies above get cut off. Because we want a stable DC voltage on the ADC output, the frequency of the lowpass filter is dimensioned that low. The same as in the solution 0/1, we took the higher coil to reduce the output current ripple. The values for and are recommended in the datasheet to protect the current monitor against EMC. It’s important, that we take a diode with a low voltage drop for to discharge the coil during the off-time of the PWM. We had the schottky diode SS13L in stock and therefore we used it. The function of is to limit the initial current peak during the start up. We had to take another diode than SS13L for this function, because the peak current is up to , which is not in the operating area of the SS13L. Due to that, we used the SS34 for , which has a enough height forward current. is a pull down resistor and the value is based on experience. The same applies to . The function of is to reduce ripple, when long cables are used for the connection to the LED’s.

### Schematics




Figure 7: Schematic of solution 2

### Simulation




Figure 8: LED current sweep of 10 % to 100 % duty cycle




Figure 9: Current through coil

The LTSpice model of the ZXCT1110 is not available. To be able to simulate this solution anyway, we built the function of this component with a voltage controlled current source.

We expected to see a linear charge and discharge curve of the current through the coil. Seen in Figure 9.

The simulation shows after a short high peak a stable current, depending on the on-time of the PWM signal. The ripple of the current through the LED’s is lower than expected. Probably this is of the big dimensioned capacitor .

### Component value

Table 2: Components for solution 2

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Part name | Component | # |
| R2 | Resistor 1 Ω | 1 |
| R4 | Resistor 1.47 kΩ | 1 |
| R5 | Resistor 4.7 kΩ | 2 |
| R1 | Resistor 100 kΩ | 1 |
| C3 | Capacitor 10 pF | 1 |
| C2 | Capacitor 100 nF | 1 |
| C4 | Capacitor 1 µF | 1 |
| C1 | Capacitor 100 µF | 1 |
| L1 | Coil 680 µH | 1 |
| T1 | Transistor BUK98150-55A | 1 |
| IC1 | IC ZXCT1110 | 1 |
| D1 | Diode SS13L | 1 |
| D2 | Diode SS34 | 1 |

## Supply

Our Hardware should be able to power the two solutions. We decided to use a jumper to choose, which of the solution is running. A status LED indicates, which solution is currently active. To make the testability as good as possible, we decided to include a separate connector for an external power supply. Additionally, we have implemented a diode for reverse polarity protection, so it shouldn’t be possible to destroy the components, if you mixed up the contacts. For the normal operation the DC Jack is used. Therefore you can’t mix up the contacts and a polarity protection isn’t required.

### Schematics




Figure 10: Schematic of the power supply

## µC Connector

These solutions are controlled by the evaluation board GECKO. The connector provides the connection between the microcontroller and the PCB.

### Schematics




Figure 11: Schematic of the µC connector

# Implementation




Figure 12: PCB layout, Red top layer, Blue bottom layer

Before we started with the layout of the PCB, we invested a lot of time in placing the components useful to make the placement of the conductive paths as simple as possible. After that, we defined our own concept:

* To place all components on the top layer
* The width of conducting path
* To group the individual solutions
* To place as much conducting paths as possible on the top layer
* Ground plates on the top and bottom layer
* As short and straight connections as possible
* To place testpoints for test relevant signals
* To leave enough space for assembling, soldering and optical testing
* Width of the PCB should be the same as of the gecko board

Table 3: Connectors

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Connector: | Note: |
| X1 | Power supply 24 V |
| X2 | Connects the Evaluation board with the PCB |
| X3 | Output for the LED’s of solution 2 |
| X4 | Output for the LED’s of solution 0/1 |

Table 4: Jumpers

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Jumper configurations: | Note: |
| JP1.1-JP1.2 | Power on solution 0/1 |
| JP1.3-JP1.2 | Power on solution 2 |
| JP2.1-JP2.2 | Activation of solution 1 / DAC-mode |
| JP2.3-JP2.2 | Activation of solution 0 / PWM-mode |

Table 5: Signals

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Signal name: | Note: |
| 0\_PWM | PWM value for solution 0, frequency: |
| 1\_DAC | Analog value for solution 1, range: |
| 2\_FET | PWM value for solution 2, frequency: |
| 2\_ADC | Output voltage of IC ZXCT1110, which represents the LED-current |

# Test

When we started with the testing, the software was not finished. In order to continue, we had to simulate the [functionality](https://www.dict.cc/englisch-deutsch/functionality.html) of the software with an external function generator. Our approach was, to set up different work points and measure the resulting current and voltage. We also analysed the resulting waveforms and compared them with simulated ones. To define the requirements of the testplan, we simulated the testcases and calculated the theoretical values with the datasheets. On account of this values, we calculated the mean values. See testplan, sheet 2. To define the maximum range of the requirements, we specified a deviation of of the mean value.

These testcases revealed some potential for improvement. First of all we analysed, that we had to remove the schottky diode at solution 2. Alternatively, it would also be possible to replace the schottky diode with a silicon diode. The issue was, that the diode gets conductive from a voltage of . Therefore, the voltage drop above the shunt resistor can’t get higher than the breakdown voltage of the diode. This leads to a limited ADC voltage on the ZXLCT1110 output.

An unsolved issue is the waveform of the output current of the solution 2. We noticed, that the waveform of the current doesn’t match with our expectations. At a PWM-frequency of , we indicated a ripple in the waveform, which interferes the ADC voltage. To minimize this interference, we reduced the PWM-frequency to . See Figure 13 and Figure 14.

Another little issue is the voltage divider on the ADJ-input at solution 0. In low frequency mode a voltage of is required on this input to guarantee the proper functionality. The maximum output level of the microcontroller is . Therefore, we can’t get the required on the ADJ-input with the current resistor values. A possibility is to change the resistor values, to get the half voltage of the microcontroller or omit the voltage divider completely and adjust the output voltage of the controller to . An unpleasant problem is the series resistor of the status LED’s. The value of these resistors is to low which leads to an unnecessary high current flow through the status LED’s.

The test protocol will be sent in separately.




Figure 13: Interference @ 100 kHz, Blue output current, Yellow PWM signal




Figure 14: Interference @ 25 kHz, Blue output current, Yellow PWM signal




Figure 15: Measurement setup solution 0/1




Figure 16: Measurement setup solution 2

# Project management

The Gantt-diagram will be sent in separately.

# Conclusion

All in all, the main functions worked from the beginning. After proceeding the test procedure, we could detect some smaller issues. Many of these issues could be solved easily by adapting or removing components. But there is also one issue we couldn’t solve completely. We reduced the effect of this problem to a minimum. Normally the source of the error would be investigated and eliminated. Due to lack of time, this problem could not be investigated any further. Finally, we have gained a lot of new experiences. We have learned, that the simulation and the calculations doesn’t have to match with the reality. But simulations help to shorten the development time and understanding the circuit. With the experience we have made and the improvements of the PCB, we are well prepared for the ETP2 project.

# Appendix

## Improvements

* Elimination of diode
* Changing PWM-frequency of to (solution 2)
* Elimination of voltage divider
* Adjust value of series resistors of status LED’s and

## Tools

Table 6: Used tools

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Description** | **Version** |
| Autodesk Eagle | 9.5.0 |
| LTSpice | XVII |

## Abbreviations

* ADC = **A**nalog **D**igital **C**onverter
* EMC = **E**lectro**m**agnetic **c**ompatibility
* IC = **I**ntegrated **C**ircuit
* LED = **L**ight **E**mitting **D**iode
* PCB = **P**rinted **C**ircuit **B**oard
* PWM = **P**ulse **W**idth **M**odulation
* µC = **M**icro **C**ontroller

## Sources

* Figure 2: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Buck_converter> accessing date on 15.11.2019
* Datasheet ZXTC1110: <https://www.diodes.com/assets/Datasheets/ZXCT1107_10.pdf> accessing date on 15.11.2019
* Datasheet ZXLD1350: <https://www.diodes.com/assets/Datasheets/ZXLD1350.pdf> accessing date on 15.11.2019
* Further Datasheets and PPT’s: [S:\pools\t\T-ZSN-ETP](file:///\\shared.zhaw.ch\shared$\pools\t\T-ZSN-ETP) accessing date on 15.11.2019